

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,013	10/15/2003	Marek Szymanski	964-031638	4897
28289 7	7590 06/01/2005	•	EXAM	INER
THE WEBB LAW FIRM, P.C.			FISCHMANN, BRYAN R	
700 KOPPERS BUILDING				
436 SEVENTH AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PITTSBURGH, PA 15219			3618	

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/686,013	SZYMANSKI, MAREK				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bryan Fischmann	3618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 A	pril 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Application of the comments have been received in PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03-15-04 & 04-23-04</u> 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

11

Art Unit: 3618

Specification

1. The specification is objected to because of the following:

A) The following recited phrases are unclear, awkwardly worded, and/or

grammatically incorrect:

1) Line 1 of paragraph 0010 recites "party open".

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the following must be shown or the features canceled from the claims. No new matter should be entered.

Claims 1, 4 – the battery block

Claims 6 and 15-18 – the rear portion of the beam being rigidly connected with a rear counterweight of the fork lift truck.

3. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

The drawings are considered informal, as the reference numbers and associated lead lines are not uniform and well defined. See 37 CFR 1.84(i).

Art Unit: 3618

Claim Objections

4. Claims 12-18 are objected to because of the following:

A) Claim 12 recites "...wherein in a forward portion...".

This recitation is objected to, as being awkwardly worded.

Suggested improved wording is "wherein a forward portion of the beam".

See also claims 13 and 14

B) Claim 15 recites "...wherein the beam in its rear portion...".

This recitation is objected to, as being awkwardly worded.

Suggested improved wording is "wherein a rear portion of the beam".

See also claims 16-18

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/686,013

Art Unit: 3618

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Page 4

6. Claims 1-6 and 9-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Japanese Patent JP 2002-265191.

JP 2002-265191 teaches fork lift truck, comprising:

a chassis (Figure 1); and

a battery block (20) configured to be located inside the chassis,

wherein the chassis has a lateral opening for removal of the battery block in a substantially lateral direction (Figure 2), and wherein the chassis includes a beam (10) to which a bending load can be applied and which beam is located on an upper side of the opening in the chassis (Figure 3).

Regarding claims 2, 3 and 9, see Figure 1.

Regarding claims 4, 5 and 10-13, see the "forward end" of Figure 7.

Regarding claims 6 and 15-18, see Figures 1, 2 and reference number 61 of Figure 9.

Art Unit: 3618

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 7, 8, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent JP 2002-265191.

JP 2002-265191 fails to teach that the beam is T-shaped, or hollow.

However, note that it is considered within the skill level of one of ordinary skill in the art to change the shape of an object. See Section 2144 of the MPEP. Making the beam T-shaped is advantageous in that the area moment of inertia is increased, making the beam more resistant to bending or torsional loads. Making the beam hollow is advantageous in that the area moment of inertia is increased over the "flat beam" of JP 2002-265191, while the hollow interior portion, which would be subjected to low stresses in bending, or torsion if the beam were solid, contributes to significant reduction in weight, without significant loss of strength.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the beam of JP 2002-265191 T-shaped, or hollow.

Art Unit: 3618

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

A) Hafer, Marton, Syzmanski, et al, DE 19641254, JP 10-36089, JP 11-246193, JP 2001-48493 and JP 2001-187698 – teach electrically powered fork lifts, or other electrically powered vehicles where the battery is removable and structure surrounding the battery

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Bryan Fischmann whose telephone number is (571) 272-6694. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Ellis, can be reached on (571) 272-6914. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER